

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

OCT 2 2 2010

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-35)

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 578 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4. of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435–36 (2001), the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The Transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 13, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jeffery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the PSOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

DY CERTIFIED TRUE COP

Date 10/22/10

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-35 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
CALIFOR	RNIA CE	ENTRAL	
CAC	2	10-06398	Darlene Victor et al v. Bayer Corporation et al 10 · 20443 · DRH · PMF
CAC	2	10-06566	Nicole Behlow et al v. Bayer Corporation et al 10 · 20444 · Def · PUF
CAC	2	10-06909	Irma Gonzalez et al v Bayer Corporation et al 10. 20445 Det PUF
CALIFOR	enia no	ORTHERN	
CAN	3	10-03753	Roshanna Albritton v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10. 20446-DeH.PUF
CAN	3	10-03754	Bridget Balding Smithers, et al. v. McKesson Corp., 10. 70447-DeH-PUF
CAN	3	10-03763	Latonya Studdard v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10 · 2044B · DRH · PUF
CAN	3	10-03764	Dana Perduson V McKesson Corn et al ID. /DA-T-1-1 JE-1
CAN	3	10-03765	Tennifer Dotson v McKesson Corn. et al 10.70450.
CAN	3	10-03815	Katherine Waite v McKesson Corn et al 10.70451. Ven 1991
CAN	3	10-03860	Henry Guignet, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10.70452.
CAN	3	10-03872	Faith Newell V. McKesson Corp., et al. 10.70453. Define
CAN	3	10-03930	Gina Cabell v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10.20454. DeH. Pur
CAN	3	10-03889	Tamara Obeck v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10.20455. DeH. PMF
CAN	3	10-03974	Linda L. Phillips v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10.70456. DEH-PUF
ILLINOIS	NORT	HERN	
ILN	1	10-04648	Glover 10.20457. D2H.PUF
ILN	1	10-05825	Meyer v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20458. DEH.PUF
INDIANA	SOUT	HERN	
INS	4	10-00106	Erin Miller v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, 10.20451. PCH. PUF Inc., et al.
LOUISIA	NA WE	STERN	
LAW	6	10-01365	Sinegar v. Bayer Corp et al 10.20460.Deh.PUF

Case 3:10-cv-03872-RS Document 13 Filed 10/28/10 Page 3 of 3

NEW JER	SEY		
NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10-04474 10-04621 10-04476 10-04475 10-04472 10-04471 10-04441	REYNOLDS v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF CAROPOLO v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF YOUNG v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF OLSEN et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF ANDERSON v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF HARWARD et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF WITHERSPOON v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF MCCLOUD et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.2046. DZH. PUF
NJ	2	10-04214	YATES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20469. DEH. PUF
TEXAS N	ORTHI	ERN	·
TXN	2	10-00213	Lankford v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.70470 · DRH · PMF